PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 59395WO005	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2004/000976	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 January 2004 (15.01.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17 January 2003 (17.01.2003)]	
International Patent Classification (IPG 7 G02B 7/00, 5/30, 5/02	C) or national classification and IPC		
Applicant 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES C	COMPANY		

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application				
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).					
			Date of issuance of this report 22 July 2005 (22.07.2005)			
	The International Bur 34, chemin des Co 1211 Geneva 20, S	olombettes	Authorized officer Agnes Wittmann-Regis			
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 70			

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

1	REC'D 03	JUN	2004
	WIPO		PC1

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:		PCI		
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below	CTION	
International application No. PCT/US2004/000976	International filing date (d	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.01.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G02B7/00, G02B5/30, G02B5/02				
Applicant 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY				

1.	This opinion cor	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:			
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion			
	Box No. Ⅱ	Priority to a standard industrial applicability			
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			

FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Casse, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2769



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/000976

	Box No.	Basis of the opinion
1.	With rega	ard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in age in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lang	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following page , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With rega	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and y to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type o	f material:
	□ a	sequence listing
	□ t	able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forma	c of material:
	□ i	n written format
	□ i	n computer readable form
	c. time c	f filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		iled together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	has cor	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional lies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/000976

	Вох	No. II	Priority			
1.	☐ The following document has not been furnished:					
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).					
			translation of the ear	lier appli	cation whos	se priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Consec	quently it has not been neless been establishe	n possibled on the	e to consid assumption	er the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
3.	Add	litional c	observations, if neces	sary:		
_	Box	c No. V ustrial	Reasoned statem applicability; citation	ent undens and e	er Rule 43 explanation	bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
1. Statement						
Novelty (N) Inventive step (IS		ovelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	6,8-10 1-5,7
		entive s	tep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	· ·1-10
		ndustrial applicability (IA)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/US04/00976

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-2 905 757 D2: US-A-2 242 567 D3: US-A-2 444 520

- 1.) The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 1.1) The document **D1** discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) in figures 1, 2 and 3 with the corresponding description passages:

An optical film structure disposed on a light transmission surface of an illumination unit (1,2,3), for modulating light emitted from said illumination unit and projecting modulated light, comprising:

at least one optical film (24,29);

at least four optical film fixing parts (26) disposed at an outer peripheral portion of said optical film;

a film tension controlling member (27,28) attached at one of the ends thereof to each of said film fixing parts in such a fashion as to be capable of pulling said optical film under tension while maintaining flatness of said optical film; and

a film fixing frame 25 for fixing said optical film, connected to the other end of said film tension controlling member;

wherein said optical film, said film tension controlling member and said film fixing frame are integrated with one another and are constituted into one component.

The filter component shown in figure 2 of D1 present thus all the characteristics of the subject matter of claim 1.

- 1.2) The diffusion screen shown in figure 3 of D2 similarly shows an optical film (18) stretched by film tension controlling members (17) onto a frame 16.
 - D3 also shows a polarizing film 20 stretched on a frame 10 through spring hooks 16.
 - D2 and D3 also question the novelty of claim 1
- 2.) Dependent claims 2 to 10 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty or inventive step for the following reasons.
 - Claim 2: D2 includes a light diffusion film, D3 is a polarizer and the film of D1 could be regarded as a luminance improving film.
 - Claims 3 and 4: The film tensioning member 17 in D2 is an elastic rubber cord and in D3 tabs 16 are equivalent to springs.
 - Claim 5: The stacking of optical filters is a common practice in the art and is shown in figure 5 of D3.
 - Claims 6, 8, 9 and 10: Even if D1, D2 and D3 significantly predate the liquid crystal display technology, the claims in question merely define only the use of the film structure defined in claim 1 in different LCD applications without defining any further features specific to the filter assembly itself. In other words, the claims only define a known use for a filter in a LCD without specifying any features solving a new problem for the application in question. Hence the inventive activity appears questionable for these claims.
 - Claim 7: Both filters of D1 and D2 are used in combination with illumination units (1,2,3 in D1, implicit in D2 as the screen is used in transmission with a rear projector as an "illumination" unit).